Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/625,055	EBY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cynthia Collins	1638
All Participants: Status of Application: response after nonfinal action		
(1) Cynthia Collins.	(3)	
(2) <u>Kenyon Schuett</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 May 2006	Time: <u>12:30 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 30, 34-35		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: agreed to add the limitation "that confers male sterility" to claim 30 to modify "a nucleic acid molecule"; agreed to add the limitation "as described in the VARIETY DESCRIPTION INFORMATION" to claims 34 and 35 to modify the soybean cultivar characteristics.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicants Representative Sig	mature – il appropriate)